## In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Norman Randle,

Appellant,

v.

**Bay Watch Condominium Association**,

Appellee.

Supreme Court No. S-17570

Opening Notice
Appellate Rule 204

Date of Notice: **10/14/19** 

Trial Court Case No. 3HO-18-00247CI

- 1. On 9/6/19, Appellant filed an appeal of Judge Gist's final order/judgment distributed on 9/11/19. Attorneys whose names and addresses are correct on this notice need not file an entry of appearance. All documents filed by a party who is not represented by counsel shall include an address at which that party can be served. The caption in this case will be as shown above.
- 2. The notice of completion of preparation of file is due on or before 11/25/19. The record in this appeal will include only the documents and proceedings in the trial court case referenced above. If either party believes that the record should contain documents or proceedings from any other related case, that party should file an appropriate motion.
  - 3. This case may be subject to the requirements of Appellate Rule 221.
  - On or before 11/15/19, the attorneys for all parties to this appeal must discuss settlement as required by Appellate Rule 221. The first attorney listed below is responsible for arranging the settlement discussion. Counsel for the parties must file an appropriate notice if the parties reach settlement of any issues. If no settlement is reached, counsel for the parties must file a certificate stating that the attorneys have discussed settlement with knowledge of their clients. This certificate is due on or before 11/25/19. A form notice/certificate is enclosed with this opening notice. This form is also available on the appellate court web site (www.appellate.courts.state.ak.us).

		This case is exempt from the settlement discussion requirement under Appellate Rule 221. Appellant must file the form notice/certificate enclosed by 11/25/19, however, Part 3 need not be completed.		
			Clerk of the Appellate Courts	
			Ryan Montgomery-Sythe, Chief Deputy Clerk	
cc:	•	e Gist Court Clerk		
Mail: Randl	ibution le, Norma s, Charles	an		

## In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Nor	man Randle, Appellant,	Supreme Court No. S-17570		
	V.	Notice/Certificate Appellate Rule 221		
•	Watch Condominium ociation,  Appellee.			
Trial	Court Case No. 3HO-18-00247CI			
Part 1	NOTICE/CERTIFICATE REQUI  1. Trial Court Settlement History.	RED BY APPELLATE RULE 221		
1.	Did the parties attempt settlement at the trial court level?  ☐ yes (answer questions 2-5)  ☐ no (skip to Part 2)			
2.	What form(s) did the settlement discussions take? (Check all that apply)  □ informal discussions □ negotiations led by private neutral (e.g., mediator) name of private neutral: □ settlement conference with judge			
	name of judge:  other describe:			
3.	Who was involved in the settlement discussions? (Check all that apply)  counsel for all parties  all clients  other describe:			
4.	How long did the settlement discussion	ns take?		

5.	What	What was the outcome of the settlement discussions at the trial court level?				
		parties reached agi	reement on one or more iss	sues or claims		
		case settled as to se	ome parties, but not all par	ties		
		issues were narrow	-			
		no issues or claims	s were narrowed or resolve	ed		
Part	t <b>2.</b> Pr	o Se Party Involv	ement.			
		Indicate here if no appellate settlement discussion took place because one or more parties are unrepresented by counsel and therefore the provisions of Appellate Rule 221 do not apply. If the box in this section <b>is</b> checked, the appellant should sign below:				
		Signature		Date		
	If the	box in this section i	s <b>not</b> checked, the attorney	ys must complete Part 3.		
Part	t 3. Ce	rtificate of Appell	late Settlement Discuss	ion.		
after	the fili	ng of the appeal as	•	neys have discussed settlement alle 221, and that the attorney's		
	Date		Signature			
	Date		Signature			
	Date		Signature			

FILE THIS ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY WITH THE COURT.